



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/563,349	01/03/2006	Robert Joseph Murphy	06001	8833
24386	7590	10/21/2008		
ROBERT W PITTS			EXAMINER	
PO BOX 11483			OLSON, LARS A	
WINSTON-SALEM, NC 27116-1483				
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3617	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/21/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/563,349

Applicant(s)

MURPHY ET AL.

Examiner

Lars A. Olson

Art Unit

3617

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on ____.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-39 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) ____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☒ Claim(s) 1-8 and 13-39 is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 9 and 12 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☒ Claim(s) 10 and 11 is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) ____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on ____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. ____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-946)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/CDC)
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: ____
- Paper No(s)/Mail Date ____

DETAILED ACTION

1. An amendment was received from the applicant on August 21, 2008.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claims 9 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Axelsson (US 6,178,914) in view of Geriene et al. (US 6,474,255).

Axelsson discloses a marine handling craft, as shown in Figures 1-8, that is operable in combination with a primary platform or ship, defined as Part #1, and is capable of deployment in order to capture an object or supply vessel, defined as Part #6, where said marine handling craft, defined as Part #5, can be connected to a tether, defined as Part #10, that is attachable to said ship, as shown in Figure 3, and said supply vessel can be mated and docked with said marine handling craft, as shown in Figure 4. Said marine handling craft along with said supply vessel can also be raised and lowered by means of a crane hoist, defined as Part #11, to which is attached said tether, as shown in Figures 1-3.

Axelsson, as set forth above, discloses all of the features claimed except for the use of a marine handling craft that includes a track to which a tether can be fastened at different positions.

Geriene et al. discloses a marine vehicle, as shown in Figures 1-8, that includes an arcuate slot or track, defined as Part #275 in Figure 8, that allows for attachment of a tether, defined as Part #150 in Figure 7, that is attachable at any location on said track, and is movable by means of a single crane on a ship, also as shown in Figure 7.

Therefore, it would have been considered obvious by one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention, to utilize a track for attachment of a tether to a marine vehicle, as taught by Geriene et al., in combination with the marine handling craft as disclosed by Axelsson for the purpose of providing a marine handling craft with a means to facilitate attachment and reduce fouling of a tether.

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 1-8 and 13-39 allowed.
5. Claims 10 and 11 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication from the examiner should be directed to Exr. Lars Olson whose telephone number is (571) 272-6685.

lo

October 17, 2008

/Lars A Olson/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3617